## Case5:09-cv-02758-JF Document15 Filed11/04/09 Page1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6	JOSEPH P. MCMONIGLE State Bar #6681 JESSICA R. MACGREGOR State Bar #1687 JOHN S. HONG State Bar #255150 LONG & LEVIT LLP 465 California Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94104 TEL: (415) 397-2222 FAX: (415) 397-6392 Email: jmcmonigle@longlevit.com jmacgregor@longlevit.com jhong@longlevit.com		
7 8	Specially Appearing for Defendants GILE R. DOWNES, ESQ. and SCHULTE, ANDERSON, DOWNES, ARONSON & BITTNER, P.C.		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12		OSE DIVISION	
13	WILLIAM T. RUPERT, an individual,	CASE No. 5:09-cv-02758 JF (RS)	
14	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF GILE R. DOWNES IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION	
	vs.	TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL	
15	SUSAN BOND, an individual; GILE R.	<b>JURISDICTION</b> (FRCP 12(b)(2))	
16 17	DOWNES, ESQ., an individual; IRENE E. RUPERT, an individual; SCHULTE, ANDERSON, DOWNES, ARONSON &	Date: January 8, 2010 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 3, 5th Floor	
18	BITTNER, P.C., a Professional Corporation and DOES 1 to 20,	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel	
19	Defendants.	Action Filed: July 22, 2009	
20			
21	I, Gile R. Downes, declare:		
22	1. This declaration is submitted in support of the Motion to Dismiss for Lack of		
23	Personal Jurisdiction of Defendants GILE R. DOWNES and SCHULTE, ANDERSON,		
24	DOWNES, ARONSON & BITTNER, P.C. (collectively "Defendants"). I have personal		
25	knowledge of all facts set forth in this declaration and, if called upon to testify as a witness, could		
26	and would competently testify thereto.		
27	2. I was served with the First Amended Complaint in this action in Oregon and have		
28	not consented to jurisdiction in California.		
IT LLP STREET		1 CASE NO. 5:09-cv-02758 JF (RS)	
SCO	DECLARATION OF GILE R. DOWNES ISO MOTIC	ON TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION	

LONG & LEVIT LLP

465 CALIFORNIA STREET
SUITE 500
SAN FRANCISCO
CALIFORNIA 94104
(415) 397-2222

1	15. Schulte Anderson does not solicit or advertise for business in California, and has	
2	not done so since I have been associated with the firm.	
3	16. No Schulte Anderson attorney has practiced or currently practices law in	
4	California or advises clients regarding the application of California law.	
5	17. In May 2009, Irene Rupert, wife of the late Samuel J. Rupert, retained me as	
6	counsel to advise her regarding her own estate planning and her role as administrator of her late	
7	husband's trust.	
8	18. I have never represented Plaintiff, who is the only party in this action with any	
9	connection to California. No other attorney associated with Schulte Anderson has ever	
10	represented Plaintiff.	
11	19. I am the only Schulte Anderson attorney who has represented Irene Rupert.	
12	20. The work I performed for Mrs. Rupert concerns her late husband's trust, her	
13	personal estate planning and her rights and duties under Oregon law.	
14	21. I have sent correspondence to Plaintiff in California that relates to the rights and	
15	obligations of my Oregon client and her and her late husband's trust. I have not traveled to	
16	California for a deposition, interview, or any purpose in connection with this case.	
17	22. None of the potential witnesses in this case is located in California. They include:	
18	Oregon residents - Irene Rupert and Susan Bond, Plaintiff's mother and sister, respectively, and	
19	possibly lawyers associated with Schulte Anderson; and Michigan residents - James Rupert,	
20	Plaintiff's brother, and Alan E. Price, the attorney who originally prepared the estate plans for	
21	Plaintiff's parents.	
22	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States, that the foregoing	
23	is true and correct.	
24		
25	Dated: November _3, 2009 By/s/ Gile R. Downes GILE R. DOWNES	
26		
27	DOCS\S5070-518\570495.V2	
28	_	
LLP	CASE NO. 5:09-cy-02758 IF (RS)	

LONG & LEVIT LLP

465 CALIFORNIA STREET
SUITE 500
SAN FRANCISCO
CALIFORNIA 94104
(415) 397-2222